

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,382	12/08/2003	Jean-Charles Souriau	9905/18	4537
757 BRINKS HOF	7590 05/15/200° ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1039	95	CHIEM, DINH D		
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2883	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/731,382	SOURIAU, JEAN-CHAR	SOURIAU, JEAN-CHARLES		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Erin D. Chiem	2883			

,	Erin D. Chiem	2883						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date					
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	·	`	,					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			<i>></i>					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Anneal will r	not be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. 🗵 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Final Rejection (3/23/2007) was improper since new prior art was applied to the rejection that does not commensurate the amendment file on December 7, 2006. Examiner considers the limitation "at least partly optical component" to change the scope of the invention since prior to the amendment examiner considers the "component" to be only optical.

EBC

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fort